



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,365	09/29/2000	Arnold N. Blinn	MSFT-0208/150665.1	2197

41505 7590 11/10/2005

WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)
ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2165

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/676,365	Applicant(s) BLINN ET AL.	
	Examiner Neveen Abel-Jalil	Art Unit 2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. Jerome G. Schaefer (Attorney of Record). (3) _____
 (2) Neveen Abel-Jalil. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Arlen, H. Gray (herein Arlen) TeleZoo.com gives boost to Telecom/IT.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant request the After-Final interview to discuss the difference between the cited art in particular Arlen with the claimed invention specifically regarding the limitation of "providing an interface for use by product manufacturers for entry of new product specification data into the database and for modifying existing product specification data in the database".

The applicant argued that Travel Zoo although provides a standardized portal for telecom companies wherein the product data is stored under the same schema; does not allow direct access and manipulation by the manufacturers themselves under one and the same schema.

The Examiner disagreed that the claims cover this step instead the Examiner contends that the argued limitaion can be taught by Arlen. To find this level of clarity and detail to the invention, the Examiner and the applicant referred back to both pages 3, and 8 in the specification. The Applicant stated a proposed amendment to the claims will be made in order to clarify the argued limitaion and to better highlight the business method of the instant application.